Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA

Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

Ethics in Research: Principles, Practices, and Contemporary Challenges

Prof. Parmod Kumar Mehra

Professor and Head, Department of English, Indira Gandhi National Open University, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi.

This paper examines the role of research ethics in ensuring integrity, accountability, and social responsibility in scholarly inquiry. It raises key research questions: Why are research ethics essential in contemporary research? The study finds that ethical principles safeguard participants, enhance the credibility of findings, and maintain public trust in scientific and academic investigations. What philosophical and ethical frameworks guide responsible research? The analysis identifies Kantianism, Utilitarianism, Principalism, and Deontology as foundational approaches that shape ethical decision-making and responsible conduct. How should ethics be integrated throughout the research process? The paper demonstrates that ethical considerations must guide every stage of research, including problem formulation, research design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, and dissemination. What are the major ethical challenges faced by researchers today? Findings reveal concerns related to informed consent, privacy, confidentiality, research misconduct, plagiarism, authorship disputes, conflicts of interest, and the growing influence of digital technologies and artificial intelligence. What practices promote ethical and trustworthy research? The study highlights transparency, honesty, informed consent, protection of vulnerable participants, accurate reporting, proper attribution, and institutional oversight as essential practices. The paper concludes that ethical research is not merely a regulatory requirement but a fundamental commitment that strengthens knowledge production, protects stakeholders, and ensures the social value and reliability of research outcomes.

Keywords: Research Ethics; Research Integrity; Informed Consent; Research Misconduct; Ethical Research Practices.

Introduction

Research is a scientific process of finding new information by carrying out experiments or collecting data through various means. A researcher may work alone or with fellow researchers. To conduct experiments, human or animal participants are required at times. It also includes dissemination of the research findings with peers and others who might find it of interest to them. In order

to ensure fair research practices, it is important to conduct research ethically. How a researcher conducted research, treats the participants and fellow researchers and how the research findings are disseminated are all guided by research ethics.

Research ethics is the soul of scientific inquiry. Ethical research leads to knowledge generation based on values of moral accountability, intellectual honesty, and social responsibility. Contemporary research is driven by technological advancement, interdisciplinary and cross-disciplinary partnerships. Therefore, research ethics have become far more relevant in the present times. Resnik writes, "Institutional leaders should stress the importance of research integrity in the communications with investigators, students, and staff and should endorse ethics education and training activities" (Resnik, 2018). It highlights the important role played by all the stakeholders during the research process. Research ethics ensure responsible conduct by researcher, protection of human participants and the trustworthiness of research produced.

The need for ethical research was felt after various events and experiences like the Tuskegee Trials, Nazi experiments and Nuremberg trials shook the whole world. Later, ethical codes were developed like the Declaration of Helsinki to guide research

Received 05 **May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

involving human subjects. The preamble of Declaration of Helsinki reads, "World Medical Association has developed the Declaration of Helsinki as a statement of ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects, including research on identifiable human material and data" (World Medical Association, 2013). This document provides guidelines about how to overcome ethical concerns when undertaking medical research involving human participants. The Belmont Report reads, "Since 1945, various codes for the proper and responsible conduct of human experimentation in medical research have been adopted by different organisations" (National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects, 1979). These codes paved the way for ethical principles like respect for others, beneficence, and justice to be defined in documents like Belmont Report. Research experiments do not just affect the lives of the researchers and research participants. The vibrations of research experiments are felt by the wider world. When such incidents where human participants were stripped off of their dignity become known to public, it erases public faith in scientific research. Therefore, research ethics play an important role in connecting ethically valid research.

This chapter aims to understand research ethics in a comprehensive manner. It discusses research ethics as a philosophy to ethical practice throughout the research lifecycle, research misconduct and authorship issues, technological influences, institutional systems and governance, and practical tips for conducting ethical research.

Theories and Principles of Research Ethics

Philosophy, theology, and other ethical concepts and theories all combined together provide a framework that is used to guide ethical practices during a research process. Adil E. Shamoo and David B. Resnik write, "What these theories have in common is that they provide an overall framework for specifying ethical norms and interpreting ethical concepts" (Shamoo and Resnik, 2003). Some of these theories are discussed below.

Kantianism, a philosophy developed by German philosopher Immanuel Kant, reflects that "ethical conduct is a matter of choosing to live one's life according to moral principles or rules" (Shamoo and Resnik, 2003). It suggests that all human beings have morality and they can choose to use it to not cause harm, abuse or manipulate anyone to achieve their goals. This philosophy focuses on the means, more than goals. It is a deontological theory and focuses more on the actions, not results necessarily.

Utilitarianism, associated with Jeremy Bentham and Stuart Mill evaluates people's actions on the basis of their outcomes. It is based on the idea of utility. It is a consequentialist theory and "All consequentialist theories, including utilitarianism, depend on empirical evidence relating to the probable outcomes" (Shamoo and Resnik, 2003). This theory believes that any action should be able to achieve the best possible outcome for the maximum number of people.

Principalism is a principle centred theory. It is derived from philosophical principles that put emphasis on morality,

Received 05 May 2026; Accepted 27 May 2026. Available online: 30 May 2026. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

human dignity, and social responsibility. These principles are- integrity, accountability, transparency, and respect for people, and they are generally considered the basic requirements for conducting ethical research. Believed to have been derived from the Nuremberg Code, these principles are also important in guiding the research work and shaping responsible research practices.

Deontology is another philosophy that values the intent behind the action more than the action itself. As per deontology, an action performed should be guided by moral values. If not, it questions the action. Loue argues, "Deontology values actions based upon the underlying intent: are the actions motivated by the person's intent to perform his or her duty, because it is his or her duty?" (Loue, 2002). It focuses on duty and moral rules. It believes that all actions have an intrinsic rightness or wrongness. It does not believe that end can justify the means.

Integrity is a moral characteristic and in the domain of scientific research it refers to honesty and consistency in research practices. All these theories discuss the value of morality while scientific research. For research to be accepted as having integrity, researchers are required to present findings truthfully and avoid manipulation of data or deception in presenting the findings. Accountability is a characteristic that makes a researcher responsible to justify their research methods used and the final outcomes to peers, institutions, and society at large. Transparency in research refers to openness in the reporting of research processes and findings, which allows peers to evaluate, verify and replicate the findings. Respect for human subjects is an important aspect of research ethics. It ensures that a human participant be treated as an autonomous individual, whose informed consent is important to protect them from any harm.

The ethical principles listed above are closely aligned with the code of ethics mentioned in the Belmont Report, which remains an important document of research ethics. It mentions, "The term 'benficence' is often understood to cover acts of kindness or charity that go beyond strict obligation. In this document beficence is understood in a stronger sense, as an obligation… do not harm and maximise possible benefits and minimise possible harm" (National Commission, 1979). Respect for human participants requires that they are recognised as autonomous and informed participants and vulnerable population should also be protected. Beneficence aims at maximisation of benefits and minimisation of harm, and Justice here involves fairness in the distribution of research work and benefits among all the research participants.

Ethical Considerations in Research Process

Ethical responsibility should be considered throughout the entire research process. It should not be treated as a formal or institutional requirement. Rather, it is an important part of the research process at every stage, from identifying a research problem, research design, collecting data, data analysis and presenting findings. Different stages of research often involve different ethical challenges for a researcher. In such moments,

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

the researchers should exercise moral judgement and follow these ethical principles in order to maintain fairness in the process and the outcome of the process. When ethics are integrated into every step of the research process, it fosters honesty, accountability, and responsibility in the scholarly practice.

An ethical research process is guided by ethical responsibility at every stage of the research. It must be taken into consideration from the first step of the research to the last, during problem formulation, research design, data collection, data analysis, interpretation, and dissemination of final results. The Belmont Report mentions "Three basic principles, among those generally accepted in our cultural tradition, are particularly relevant to the ethics of research involving human subjects: the principle of respect of persons, beneficence and justice" (National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 1979). Thus, each stage may present distinct ethical challenges that require careful consideration and established principles should be adhered to. When research ethics are taken into consideration at every step of the research cycle, it ensures that integrity and accountability become an integral part of the research process.

The sound moral principles of a researcher are tested from the first step of research, formulating the research problem. Intellectual honesty is expected from a researcher when they present a research problem. They are expected to engage in academically relevant research while at the same time it should be ethically and socially acceptable as well. Those topics should be chosen where no community, people or animals are harmed in any way. Exploitation of people, animals and resources should not be encouraged. This becomes important if research involves marginalised population. In such cases, care should be taken to avoid any possibility of misrepresentation. Sana Loue notes, "however, on occasion, the scientific optimisation of a research design may be unethical, and compromises must be made in the design of the study" (Loue, 2002). Thus, a researcher is required to take moral decisions, without compromising on the scientifically valid study design, from the beginning of research process.

This is a stage where intellectual honesty is of crucial importance. Researchers must not try to align the research questions with the most predictable research outcome. Their research should be free from any biases or personal or financial interests. In case of any conflict of interest, it should be disclosed to maintain the credibility of research. Duplication of research questions should be avoided. A proper review of literature is essential to find a research gap to explore further.

After research design, care needs to be given to form the research team, if more than one researcher are required. This is a critical step as it will direct the outcome of the research. The team should comprise of members who are aware of the research ethics and are willing to follow the ethical guidelines laid down by the institute they are associated with. As Loue notes in this regard, "If the research team is not competent to carry out the

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

study protocol, the research may not be usable" (Loue, 2002). Therefore, the research team should comprise members who have competence and knowledge in the field of study, as well as aware of and are willing to comply with the ethical requirements.

Ethical considerations in planning and conducting research is aimed at minimising any potential harm to vulnerable participants. Keeping this in consideration, a research design involving human and animal participants should have a strict monitoring system. If the people participating in the research are not protected, it might lead to a decline in public confidence in such scientific research. In order to avoid such situations, an informed consent is required from the participants. Vulnerable participants should also be treated with dignity. Loue writes, "the International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects, promulgated by the Council for International Organisations of Medical Sciences and World Health Organisation (1993), has delineated several groups that could be considered to be vulnerable, including prisoners, children, and individuals with mental or behavioural disorders" (Loue, 2002). Some international codes include pregnant women, soldiers and refugees in this category as well.

Establishment of ethical review committees is a key requirement to ensure ethical guidance in the conduct of research. Christine Grady writes in her article that, "Institutional Review Boards are charged with providing an independent evaluation that proposed research is ethically acceptable…" (Grady, 2015). Researchers must provide detailed information about human subjects, their consent, and any potential risks to the participants and ways those risks can be minimised or overcome. The IRBs are responsible for looking into these matters. As Grady describes, "The goal of IRB review is to ensure that the rights and welfare of participating research subjects will be adequately protected in the pursuit of the proposed research study" (Grady, 2015).

However, it might pose a challenge to a researcher if their research is interdisciplinary, i.e., it involves more than one discipline. In such cases, the researcher may have to seek permission and submit the research design and seek guidance throughout research through the IRBs at various institutions or universities they are researching at. Multisite research have also emerged and must follow the same procedure. It becomes the researcher's duty to navigate these differences carefully and ensure their research meets the ethical guidelines and standards across all the review boards.

Data collection is one of the most ethically sensitive stages of the research process, even more so when human participants are also involved in the process. Biases in data collection or record should be avoided. Where human participants are involved, care should be taken to obtain informed consent and ensure privacy for the participants. Informed consent entails that the participants should be aware of the nature of research, its purpose, risks involved, and the benefits of the research. Beauchamp and Childress include voluntariness as an "another

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

element of informed consent… Because it was often neglected in the history of research, this element has come to have a prominent role in biomedical ethics" (Beauchamp and Childress, 2019). Informed consent is one of the ways human participants can be given respect by giving them the required information about the research being undertaken.

One of the research ethics is aimed at maintaining confidentiality of human participants and data collected. Anonymity of participants should be maintained during the process and any information provided by the participants should not be used for any other purpose than stated to them while gaining their informed consent. If the information collected from the participants is shared with a third party or the identities of the participants is revealed during any stage of the research process, it is seen as a breach of the research ethics. It is especially important in qualitative research, where detailed personal narratives may be collected from participants. In such cases, additional care must be taken to prevent identification of participants. More so, in the cases where vulnerable participants are involved. The behaviour of the investigator is also important here. Resnik writes, "Investigator behaviour is probably the single most important factor in protecting the rights and welfare of human subjects" (Resnik, 2018). Any misbehaviour on the part of investigator should be discouraged and avoided.

With the evolution of the role digital world plays in the research journey, it is pertinent to address the challenges posed by online platforms in the process of data collection. The issues of consent and privacy of data are to be understood carefully before using any digital content. Before using any digital content, even if it is available freely in the public domain, the users or creators must be approached for taking their consent before using it in the research. This also helps the researcher to ensure they are complying with the ethical guidelines of the digital domain

After data collection, the next step in research process is data analysis. During this stage, the data collected is analysed and in this process manipulation of data or findings should be avoided in order to maintain the integrity of the research. As Shamoo and Resnik wite, "The failure to provide an honest and accurate analysis of the data can have as significant an impact on research results as recording data improperly" (Shamoo and Resnik, 32). To ensure a certain result, selective reporting or misleading data should be avoided. It ensures objectivity and integrity of the research, as even "relatively subtle decisions regarding data analysis or other forms of interpretation can 'skew' or 'bias' the results of research toward a pattern that conforms with the beliefs or expectations of the researcher" (Macfarlane, 2009). If any such biased or skewed results are found, then it constitutes serious ethical violation of research ethics and it must not be done.

The process of interpretation of research findings requires integrity, critical reflection and unbiasedness from the researcher. Any bias during this stage is considered unethical. Ioannidis writes that even when care to taken to diminish biases, "large studies may still have biases and these should be acknowledged and avoided"

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

(Ioannidis, 2005). Any bias, when noticed, should be acknowledged and dealt with properly. The researcher should not overstate the findings or significance of their research. The data provided should be reproducible by peers, so that its integrity and validity can be assessed. When data is misinterpreted or complete information or findings are not disclosed, it could lead to potential harmful consequences, more so in medical sciences public policy, where the research findings may impact a larger number of people. To avoid such misinterpretation of data, any challenges faced during the process or limitations of research must be disclosed. It maintains the credibility of research and public faith in research as well.

The final stage of the research process involves the dissemination of findings through publications, presentations, and other forms of communication. This stage is important because the research becomes credible only after its wings are disseminated and verified by others. Macfarlane writes, "For research to be made meaningful it must be opened up to some form of scrutiny within the academic community or, perhaps, more widely to the general public" (Macfarlane, 2009). Researcher should share the research with others for scrutiny and review and when found to be ethical, it may be used for public good. The process of dissemination of research is obligatory to the stakeholders of the research. Everyone who contributed to the research, be it the participants, funding bodies or peers, are the stakeholders of the research and should have access to it.

While dissemination of research, care should be taken to be respectful of research that already exists in the given domain. Macfarlane calls it "humility" to recognise the contribution of others that have gone before. He writes about humility as also, "…a recognition of… potentially of the limitations of one's previous accuracy. This is why it is customary in academic research to present one's own findings in the context of the work of others" (Macfarlane, 2009). If we fail to cite others in our research, it may also be called plagiarism, and it is one of the research ethics a researcher must not overlook. Giving proper attribution to the sources research has been derived from is ethical. Any violation of this research ethic is called plagiarism, and it may lead to the research being mistrusted by others.

During the process of dissemination, issues related to authorship may arise. To maintain fairness and avoid disputes, authorship should be assigned based on significant contributions to either conception of research, design, data collection, data analysis, or involvement in preparing the draft of the research findings. Any conflict of interest, financial or personal, should also be disclosed at this stage. Failure to disclose any conflict of interest may undermine the credibility of the research and raise questions about its integrity.

With the rise in the dependence of humans on technology, over reliance on artificial intelligence has been noticed in recent years. While it has transformed the way research is conducted, it has also raised doubts on the credibility of research.

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Integral Research
(ISSN: 3048-5991) Coden: IRABCA
Research Article
(Peer-reviewed, Open Access & Indexed Multidisciplinary Journal)
Journal home page: https://integralresearch.in/
Vol. 03, No. 05, May. 2026

Good Research Practices

In order to ensure a fair and credible research, a researcher should follow the guidelines laid down by the institute or university they are working with. These guidelines are fair standards that help to ensure that the research being conducted is fair, unbiased and measures on integrity and accountability. Shamoo and Resnik write, "To deal with corrupting influences and ensure the quality, objectivity, and integrity of research data, it is important to promote ethical attitudes and good research practices…" (Shamoo and Resnik, 2003). In the Indian context, ethical research is emphasised by regulatory bodies like the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) and University Grants Commission (UGC). These bodies provide ethical research guidelines for the researchers to follow, in order to promote credible and responsible academic and scientific research.

Some of the best practices for ethical research have already been discussed in this chapter. These include informed consent of the human participants and humane treatment of animal participants. In case of human participants, their consent must be voluntary and only after they have been made aware of the reason, methods, potential risks and benefits of the research. While collecting data and interpreting it, the researcher should report the findings as they are. The result of the findings should be verifiable and reproducible. However, the researcher should avoid fabrication and falsification of data in order to achieve the desired research outcome, as it questions the credibility of research findings. Dissemination of research findings should be done in an unbiased manner and every shortcoming or challenge should be reported.

Avoiding plagiarism is another good research practice to follow. Any prior research work that has been used or referred to while conducting research should be properly cited. Protecting the interests of human participants is another good practice to follow. Their participation should remain anonymous and vulnerable participants should not feel threatened in any way. For the institutes and universities where research is conducted, training sessions on research ethics should be organised for researchers to make them aware of any inadvertent breach of ethical conduct during their research.

Ethical practices to be followed during research are a set of rules and principles that ensure the final research be credible, authentic, and socially beneficial. Applying these rules to research strengthens not only the research being conducted, but also the faith of peers and the common people who are affected by its results.

References:

Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Grady, C. (2015). Institutional review boards: Purpose and challenges. *Chest,* 148(5), 1148–1155. Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2005). Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Medicine,* 2(8), 124.

National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1979). *The*

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

Belmont Report: Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research. U.S. Government Printing Office.

Resnik, D. B. (2018). *The Ethics of Research With Human Subjects: Protecting People*, Advancing Science, Promoting Trust. Springer.

World Medical Association. (2013). Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*, 310(20), 2191–2194.

Shamoo, A. E., & Resnik, D. B. (2003). *Responsible conduct of research*. Oxford University Press.

Loue, S. (2002). Textbook of research ethics: Theory and practice. *Springer*.

Macfarlane, B. (2009). *Researching with integrity: The ethics of academic enquiry*. Routledge.

Received **05 May 2026**; Accepted **27 May 2026**. Available online: **30 May 2026**. Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)