

## Reframing Globalization Through Disability and Education: Rights, Inclusion, and Transformative Justice

Parthasarathi Sahu ✉

Research Scholar, Department of English, University of Delhi, India.

**Abstract:** *One of the most pressing intersections in modern global social policy, pedagogy, and human rights discourse is that between education and disability. Education serves as a place where citizenship, dignity, economic engagement, and social belonging are negotiated in addition to being a place where knowledge is acquired. However, people with disabilities continue to be one of the groups most excluded from education globally. This essay looks at disability and education from pedagogical, historical, theoretical, and policy perspectives. The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), the emergence of inclusive education frameworks, legal mandates, and the transformation of educational institutions through inclusive pedagogy, assistive technology, and universal design are all examined. The connection between disability and globalization is inherently contradictory. While globalization has increased visibility, enhanced rights frameworks, fostered technological advancements, and encouraged transnational solidarity, it has also exacerbated inequality, insecurity, and systemic exclusion. People with disabilities continue to be disproportionately impacted by poverty, exclusion from the workforce, digital disparities, and deficiencies in policy. The benefits promised by globalization often do not materialize for those who are marginalized. However, disability advocacy, human rights initiatives, and technological advancements indicate a potential for a more inclusive global system. The direction of globalization hinges on its capacity to prioritize accessibility, justice, and human dignity. Disability highlights the ethical constraints of globalization, prompting a reevaluation of concepts related to productivity, citizenship, physicality, and community. Achieving an inclusive global future necessitates not just integration but significant transformation.*

**Keywords:** Globalization, Disability, Transformative Justice, Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), human rights, technological advancements.

### Introduction

Throughout history, education has served as a tool for exclusion as well as an emancipatory force. Through inaccessible facilities, strict teaching methods, prejudiced attitudes, and poor policy execution, the educational system has frequently perpetuated structural marginalisation for people with disabilities. Compared to their peers without disabilities, people with disabilities are less likely to go to school, finish primary or secondary education, or develop reading skills worldwide. The exclusion encompasses not just educational aspects but also social, economic, and knowledge-based dimensions. Education influences access to job opportunities, civic engagement, and social respect. Consequently, education that includes

individuals with disabilities are vital for achieving equality, sustainable development, and participation in democracy. The United Nations acknowledges education as a fundamental right for individuals with disabilities and cites inclusive education as essential for diminishing discrimination and enhancing social cohesion. This paper places disability and education within various interdisciplinary contexts—including disability studies, pedagogy, sociology, policy analysis, and human rights—arguing that true inclusion necessitates structural changes instead of mere superficial adjustments. According to the medical paradigm, a handicap is a personal impairment that needs to be corrected, treated, or accommodated in some way. Students were frequently placed in special schools under education systems that

were influenced by this approach. The social model, on the other hand, sees disability as the result of institutional, environmental, and mental impediments rather than physical deficiencies. This change gives rise to inclusive education: the system, not the student, is the issue. Contemporary academic discussions position disability and education within the framework of the capability approach, highlighting the importance of dignity, participation, and agency. Technological and educational interventions should focus on empowering individuals to build capabilities instead of simply addressing deficits. Around one billion individuals globally live with disabilities, making them the largest minority group in the world. Nevertheless, they remain inadequately represented at all levels of education. Individuals with disabilities:

are less frequently enrolled in educational institutions, accumulate fewer years of education, experience higher rates of dropping out, and display lower literacy rates.

Millions of youngsters in South Asia are still not attending school, and a sizable fraction of them have disabilities.

Intersecting disparities such as gender, poverty, rural marginalisation, and societal stigma exacerbate this exclusion.

The foundation of inclusive education is the idea that all students, regardless of aptitude, ought to attend classes together in regular classrooms with the assistance they require. With the goal of integrating students with disabilities into regular educational systems, India has created progressive

frameworks through disability legislation and educational policies.

Inaccessible educational facilities

The absence of assistive technology

Insufficient transportation

A demanding curriculum

Evaluation that is standardised

No customised learning plans are available.

Same poor standards

Discrimination in institutions

The state of poverty

medical expenses

Insufficient scholarship opportunities

Inclusive pedagogy focuses on creating learning environments that are accessible to everyone from the beginning. Universal design encompasses:

- varied methods of representation
- adaptable assessment techniques
- cooperative learning settings

In areas such as computer science, modifying curricula and teaching approaches facilitates equitable participation for visually impaired students and others with disabilities.

Technological advancements—like screen readers, speech-to-text programs, and adaptive interfaces—have transformed educational possibilities. AI-driven educational systems can improve accessibility, tailor instruction to individual needs, and support inclusive classrooms, but it's crucial to have ethical frameworks in place.

Nevertheless, digital exclusion continues to be a challenge, particularly in the Global South, where the implementation of accessibility standards is often inconsistent. Due to a lack of support networks, prejudice,

and inadequate infrastructure, students with disabilities continue to face marginalisation in higher education.

Higher education that is inclusive must:

- Campuses that are accessible
- Teaching strategies that are inclusive
- assistance services
- representation in academia and research

The foundation of inclusive education is teacher readiness.

Among the difficulties are:

- Insufficient training on disability awareness
- Few educational resources
- Inadequate institutional assistance

Research shows that educators often receive insufficient training in accessibility and disability inclusion, underscoring the necessity for changes in curriculum and professional development. Education plays a crucial role in determining job prospects, independence, and involvement in society. An inclusive educational approach fosters:

- economic empowerment
- social mobility
- democratic inclusion

Global development initiatives reveal that enhancing teacher training, improving infrastructure, and providing inclusive educational materials greatly boost access and outcomes for students with disabilities.

India's education system demonstrates both advancements and obstacles. Policies prioritize integration, early diagnosis, and inclusive teaching methods.

Nonetheless:

rural regions suffer from a lack of resources there is an ongoing shortage of teachers stigma still exists.

Despite progressive frameworks, there is still uneven implementation of inclusive education.

Women with impairments are less likely to pursue formal education and face increased isolation.

Therefore, rather than considering disability as a single identity, education policy needs to address intersecting inequities.

An important place to look at the politics of knowledge, citizenship, embodiment, and social justice is the relationship between education and disability. Educational systems have historically fluctuated between inclusion, integration, and segregation, reflecting broader ideological transitions from medicalisation and charity to rights-based frameworks and epistemic plurality. Through theoretical, historical, legal, and pedagogical lenses, this study provides a thorough examination of disability and education. Disability Studies arose as a critique of the biomedical model, emphasizing disability as a socio-political concept. The social model differentiates impairment (physical differences) from disability (social exclusion created by obstacles). Consequently, educational institutions are not impartial; they contribute to the creation of disability through their inaccessible facilities, inflexible teaching methods, and conventional expectations regarding cognition and behaviour. Scholars like Michael Oliver, Lennard Davis, and Rosemarie Garland-Thomson illustrate how

education operates as a disciplinary system that favors “normalcy” while sidelining differences. The curriculum, standardized assessments, and institutional hierarchies determine what is considered intelligent and capable. Disability Studies reinterprets inclusion as:

- epistemic justice
- acknowledgment of varied embodiments
- alteration of institutional power dynamics

Paulo Freire's approach to critical pedagogy frames education within the context of power dynamics. Historically, disabled learners have been regarded as passive recipients instead of active contributors to knowledge. Inclusive education embodies Freire's principles by:

- undermining traditional hierarchical teacher-student dynamics
- valuing lived experiences
- highlighting the voices of marginalized groups.

Critical pedagogy contests the “banking model” of education, which promotes standardized thinking and neglects alternative learning methods. Classrooms that are inclusive of disabilities prioritize dialogic learning, collaborative assessment, and jointly created knowledge. Michel Foucault's concept of biopolitics illustrates how contemporary institutions monitor and categorize populations through techniques of surveillance, classification, and normalization. Schools act as environments where both bodies and minds are regulated. Disability results from:

- Standardised intelligence tests
- Standards of behaviour
- Classification of the institution

By acknowledging cognitive and corporeal plurality and upending normative standards, inclusive education undermines biopolitical control.

Segregation and compassion were the foundations of early education for people with impairments. Disabled students were kept apart from general education by specialised institutions. Important characteristics: The practice of paternalism

### **Intervention that is medicalised Low academic standards**

Rehabilitation ideology influenced education in the 19th and 20th centuries. Disability was presented as a shortcoming that needed to be fixed. Although it grew, special education stayed separated.

Integration was brought about by post-World War II advances, which allowed impaired students to attend regular classrooms with little modification. Instead, than changing the system itself, integration frequently forced students to adjust to it.

Policy changes in the late 20th century placed an emphasis on inclusiveness. Human rights movements have influenced inclusive education, which encourages: Systemic reorganisation

### **Design that is universal**

#### **Diversity-centered teaching methods**

The Salamanca Statement (1994) represented a pivotal moment worldwide, declaring that

educational institutions must support all learners. India has established a robust framework of legislation and policies to promote education that includes individuals with disabilities. The Indian Constitution guarantees equality, prohibits discrimination, and safeguards educational rights. The Directive Principles highlight the importance of social justice and welfare.

The RPwD Act broadens the definition of disability and requires inclusive education at all levels. Important provisions include:

- ensuring non-discrimination in the admissions process
- providing reasonable accommodations
- creating accessible infrastructure
- training teachers effectively

The National Education Policy emphasizes the importance of inclusive and equitable education, focusing on:

- universal access to education
- implementing assistive technology
- offering a flexible curriculum

Through training, resource centers, and support services, programs seek to integrate kids with disabilities into regular education.

Despite progressive frameworks: There are still gaps in rural infrastructure.

There is still insufficient training for teachers.

### Social stigma affects involvement

Inconsistent data collecting The curriculum needs to take into account a range of skills and knowledge bases. Courses that are inclusive:

- depict people with disabilities in texts

- Dispel stereotypes
- Make several learning pathways possible.

UDL advocates for the creation of learning environments that are accessible from the beginning.

- Core principles include:
- varied methods of engagement
- different forms of representation
- diverse ways of expression

Standardized testing poses challenges for students with disabilities. Possible alternatives consist of:

- portfolio assessments
- evaluations based on projects
- adaptive testing
- Inclusive classrooms necessitate:
- collaborative learning opportunities
- tailored instructional methods
- use of assistive technologies

Teachers serve as facilitators instead of authoritative figures.

Dimension	Global North	Global South
Infrastructure	Advanced	Uneven
Policy enforcement	Stronger	Developing
Social attitudes	Improving	Stigma persists
Technology access	High	Unequal

## Conclusion

A paradigm changes from charity to rights, from isolation to participation, and from uniformity to diversity is embodied by disability-inclusive education. The necessary changes include pedagogical, cultural, technical, and structural. Education must become an environment that is built for difference from the ground up, rather than a system that grudgingly accepts it.

The goal of inclusive education is to redefine the classroom as a diverse, democratic, and compassionate environment rather than just making it possible for students with disabilities to attend classes. The ability of education to acknowledge disability as a component of human variation that enhances knowledge, pedagogy, and society—rather than as a limitation—will determine its destiny. Disability-inclusive education signifies a significant rethinking of knowledge, authority, and the physical experience of learning. It moves education away from uniformity towards diversity, from exclusion to inclusion, and from rigid institutional structures to flexible learning environments. The change needed extends beyond physical infrastructure to a shift in mindset. Education should view disability as a source of knowledge instead of a limitation. Thus, inclusive education is not merely a policy choice but a necessary aspect of a democratic society.

## Works Cited:

Dey, Naru Gopal, and Shankar Lal Bika. “The Journey towards Inclusive and Equitable Education for Students with

Disabilities in India.” *Research Review International Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 2023.

Grimes, Peter, et al. *Mapping Disability-Inclusive Education Practices in South Asia*. UNICEF, 2021.

United Nations. “Disability and Higher Education: Inclusivity in an Increasingly Technologically Inclined Academic World.” United Nations, 2020.

United Nations. *UN Flagship Report on Disability and Development*. United Nations, 2019.

UNESCO Institute for Statistics. “New Database and Study Shine a Light on Disability and Education.” UNESCO, 2023.

World Bank. “Breaking Barriers, Building Futures: Disability Inclusion in Education.” World Bank, 2026.

Tripathi, Vaanee, and Aalok Thakkar. “Accessibility Beyond Accommodations: Redesigning Computer Science Education.” arXiv, 2025.

Cesaroni, Valeria, et al. “A Participatory Strategy for AI Ethics in Education and Rehabilitation.” arXiv, 2025.

Parthasarathy, Swaroop. “Teaching Digital Accessibility in Computing Education: Views of Educators in India.” arXiv, 2024.

Bhuiyan, Masudul Hasan Masud, et al. “Non-Western Perspectives on Web Inclusivity.” arXiv, 2025.

- United Nations. "Inclusive Higher Education and UNCRPD Obligations." Springer, 2025.
- Ainscow, Mel. From Special Education to Effective Schools for All. Routledge.
- Barnes, Colin, and Mike Oliver. Disability Studies Today. Polity.
- Barton, Len. Disability and Society. Longman.
- Charlton, James I. Nothing About Us Without Us. University of California Press.
- Davis, Lennard J. Enforcing Normalcy. Verso.
- Erevelles, Nirmala. Disability and Difference in Global Contexts. Palgrave.
- Foucault, Michel. Discipline and Punish. Vintage.
- Freire, Paulo. Pedagogy of the Oppressed. Continuum.
- Garland-Thomson, Rosemarie. Extraordinary Bodies. Columbia UP.
- Goodley, Dan. Disability Studies. Sage.
- Gabel, Susan. Disability Studies in Education. Peter Lang.
- Ghai, Anita. Disability in South Asia. Sage.
- Grech, Shaun. Disability and Poverty in the Global South. Palgrave.
- Kalyanpur, Maya. Cultural Reciprocity in Special Education. Brookes.
- Kumar, Ravi. Education and Social Justice in India. Orient Blackswan.
- Mehrotra, Nilika. Disability, Gender and State Policy. Rawat.
- Miles, Susie. Inclusive Education in the Global South. Routledge.
- Mitchell, David. What Really Works in Special Education. Routledge.
- Nussbaum, Martha. Creating Capabilities. Harvard UP.
- Oliver, Michael. The Politics of Disablement. Macmillan.
- Peters, Susan. Inclusive Education: Achieving Education for All. World Bank.
- Priestley, Mark. Disability and Social Policy. Policy Press.
- Rao, Indumathi. Education of Children with Disabilities in India. Sage.
- Shakespeare, Tom. Disability Rights and Wrongs. Routledge.
- Slee, Roger. The Irregular School. Routledge.
- Thomas, Carol. Sociologies of Disability. Palgrave.
- UNESCO. Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. Education for All Global Monitoring Report. UNESCO.
- United Nations. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. UN.
- World Bank. Inclusive Education Policy Guidelines. World Bank.
- WHO. World Report on Disability. WHO.
- Anastasiou, Dimitris, and James Kauffman. "The Social Model of Disability." Journal of Disability Policy Studies.
- Baglieri, Susan, and Arthur Shapiro. Disability Studies and the Inclusive Classroom. Routledge.
- Biesta, Gert. Good Education in an Age of Measurement. Routledge.
- Brantlinger, Ellen. Who Benefits from Special Education? Routledge.
- Connor, David. Disability Critical Race Theory. Teachers College Press.
- Elder, Glen. Childhood Disability and Education. Oxford UP.
- Florian, Lani. Inclusive Pedagogy. Springer.

- Graham, Linda. Inclusive Education for the 21st Century. Routledge.
- Hodkinson, Alan. Key Issues in Special Educational Needs. Sage.
- Kauffman, James. Special Education: What It Is and Why We Need It. Routledge.
- Liasidou, Anastasia. Inclusive Education and Critical Pedagogy. Palgrave.
- McDermott, Ray, and Hervé Varenne. Culture as Disability. NYU Press.
- Meekosha, Helen. The Body Politic of Disability. Open University Press.
- Riddell, Sheila. Education and Disability. Policy Press.
- Singal, Nidhi. Education of Children with Disabilities in India. Bloomsbury.
- Terzi, Lorella. Justice and Equality in Education. Continuum.
- Tomlinson, Sally. Special Education and Social Interests. Open University Press.