


## Crime and Election Time: A Comparative Analysis

Kalpna Rajput\*   
Assistant Professor  
Department of English  
SDMK College, Shahjahanpur, U.P.

---

### Abstract

*In democratic nations, elections are seen as crucial junctures for political change and decision-making that may have a significant influence on public policy, government, and the general populace. Elections have always had a significant influence on the formulation of the political landscape and have been connected to changes in the prevalence of crime. The intricate link between criminal behavior and election processes has been analysed as a result of this interaction. In the framework of democratic practice, politicians, law enforcement officers, and academics are responsible for ensuring public safety; thus, they need to have a solid understanding of the crime trend. Using conceptual frameworks and actual data from diverse locations and election situations, the aim of this article is to present a comparative viewpoint on the analysis of crime and election time.*

**Keywords:** Crime and Election, Governance, criminal activity, election situations, electoral bonds.

---

Elections have been viewed as essential moments of political transformation and decision-making in democratic countries, with the potential to have far-reaching impacts on public policies, governance and the broader publics. The elections have always had a major impact on the way the political scenario is formulated and have also been linked to establishing a connection with fluctuations in crime rates. This relationship has led to the analysis of the complex dynamic between criminal behaviour and electoral processes. Politicians, law enforcement officials and academics must have a good grasp of the crime trend because they search for the public's safety within the context of democratic practice. The goal of this paper is to provide a comparative perspective on the examination of crime and election time, utilising conceptual frameworks and real data from various places and electoral circumstances. Also, I intend to make a contribution to the academic discussion about the consequences of political activity on criminal conduct, as well as offer evidence-based approaches to enhancing the safeness of the public and preserving democratic integrity through patterns, apparent causal pathways and case research. Several theoretical perspectives that a certain predisposition that can assist comprehend the fundamental processes of the underlying mechanism of the dynamic interaction, providing illumination regarding the link between crime and time of an election. Albeit rational choice theory assert that individuals weigh the pros and cons before engaging in a crime, the calculation might also be influenced by the election time. The level of activity in political action during the season may affect this perception

---

\* Corresponding Author: **Kalpna Rajput** <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7586-6931>

E-mail: [kalpnarajput999@gmail.com](mailto:kalpnarajput999@gmail.com)

Received 02 may 2024; Accepted 22 May 2024. Available online: 30 May 2024.

Published by SAFE. (Society for Academic Facilitation and Extension)

[This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](#)



of a crime being dangerous or appealing, which may influence the overall outcome of crimes committed. The “election effect” theory also brings up the notion that political speechmaking and rhetoric could also impact the depiction of crime among citizens influentially, affecting reporting rates and enforcement procedures. Furthermore, strain theory proposes that the sociocultural stress linked to the election, like political unrest or joblessness, could exacerbate criminal behaviour . The season of the election is posited to increase already-existing strains present in the community, causing strain and uncertainty that can increase the chance of the criminal occurrence. Social disorganisation theory also asserts that community institutions and moral unity are essential in preventing crime. As a result, it is hypothesized that disturbances during election events may also destabilise informality which encourages criminality. Combined, the theoretical rationalisation here offers a basis by which scrutinising and understanding the intricate connection between political activities and patterns of criminality can be understood, thus providing a skeletal framework for this lines of studying crime rate during election periods.

### **Empirical Evidence**

There is an extensive body of empirical studies on criminal activity during election seasons, and no single general conclusion can be drawn from them. Most of these research efforts seem to support the idea that certain kinds of crime, such as politically motivated violence and electoral fraud, are positively related to election seasons. Indeed, evidence from primary research done in highly conflictual instances of elections has shown an increase in incidences of election violence relating to physical assaults, vandalism, and intimidation. Moreover, since research on national crime rates during electoral cycles has revealed that there are divergent patterns of violent and property crimes in election years, one can suggest that politics and criminality go hand in hand. However, there is considerable variance in empirical evidence on the relationship between criminal activity and election seasons. Several studies have shown that there is no statistically meaningful relationship or even a decrease in crime rates during election years. This evidence singularly disputes the recommendation that there is an increase in crime and general criminality in election years. The relationship is complex and is subject to moderating variables such as socioeconomic context, election competition, and police actions, among others. The diversity in empirical evidence highlights this. Furthermore, given the variance in data sources, analysis processes, and research design, it is easier to see how the empirical conclusions arrive at the divergence. Despite these challenges, research on criminal activities during election seasons provides valuable new perspectives on the nature of criminal activity in democratic states. By synthesizing and analyzing existing empirical evidence, researchers can highlight central tendencies, identify contingent variables, and uncover core processes that differentiate among election cycles. Politicians and law enforcement agencies, in turn, can build evidence-based policy interventions to address public safety issues and guarantee the integrity of democratic processes.

## Case Studies

Case studies originated from various election contexts and geographical locations can be of great help in understanding the nuanced relationships between crime and election season. As the experience shows, many world countries, particularly those with deep political divisions and polarized political ecosystems, see a sudden rise in politically motivated violence and electoral fraud during this time. In such countries, multiple case studies can be based on the influence of political discourse, political opponents' rivalry, or existing institutional flaws on the escalation of tensions and criminal activity related to the election season. Conversely, country jurisdictions that come up with innovative mechanisms to prevent crime escalation during election period can be used as examples of practical models to diminish the possible effect of the election season on crime rates. Such case studies can target specific solutions addressing the existing socioeconomic gaps, increased or enhanced law enforcement activity, or community participation, among other things. When multiple case studies are analyzed, scholars can identify the best practices and the most frequent difficulties, as well as background-related issues that assume an important part in the interaction of crime and election-timedness. Moreover, comparative case studies can assist in adopting a cross-national or -regional approach to the evolution of political events and crime patterns. Policymakers and law enforcement Agencies can benefit from the analysis by drawing insights into practical measures that can be realized to ensure public safety and election integrity in the studied cases.

The democratic process of Country Y's legislative election was marred by charges of electoral fraud and corruption. Influential political figures in same powerful office were charged with ballot stuffing, voter intimidation, and manipulation of the election results. Election observers witnessed voting machine manipulation, critics' silence, and some groups' disenfranchisement. There was a lot of dissatisfaction with the political system, including dissatisfied corrupt election practices during the prior elections, which harmed the public's confidence in the electoral authority. Civil society organizations and opposition parties coordinated various events pushing for a free and impartial inquiry into the alleged political wrongdoing and election accountability. Despite the demands for new election legislation and worldwide protest, Country Y's powerful status quo would not budge. During the election season, elections demonstrate that criminal actors are active in a variety of ways. Many activities, electoral fraud and corruption significantly undermine the democratic process, election-related assault, are a product of violent political divisions. To defend the principles of election integrity, voter rights, and democracy from the threats posed by criminal actors, policymakers, and civil society organizations' members and international stakeholders must work together. Electoral bond was introduced in 2018 in India as a means of increasing transparency in Political fundraising. However, it may undermine the voting process's democratic conscience if the right bonds are used corruptly.

Another means of electoral bond fraud is the utilization of shell corporations or anonymous donors to funnel illegal money to political parties through bond acquisitions. Because electoral bonds protect the identities of contributors, they may be misused by those or organizations interested in affecting an election outcome in an illegal manner, such as through money laundering or corruption. Electoral bonds check untraceable cash from sneaking into political competitions, but they also put the public's confidence in the political system at risk and corrupt the democratic process by circumventing visibility legislation and accountability measures. There is also apprehension about the legislation of Electoral Bond entering the full vacuum & its impact on the potential business opportunities for administration & policy exchanges. With not enough defences and no real oversight, the political apparatus gets to a place where it begins to no longer have enough comfort to demand the voters of the voters when it could demand much more from some wealthy participants. It has rendered many of our democratic decision-making institutions impotent.

#### Implications for Policy and Practice:

There are also important policy implications for better understanding the link between electoral periods and criminal activity, such that policy makers and law enforcement agencies can better plan for and prepare against fears of public safety and threats to the democratic process. This observation tells us that if and when crime does follow a political cycle, it is less the fault of the model itself than it is of lawmakers who fail to use the model to detect and proactively address the election-related violence, fraud and intimidation that the model will predict in any given situation. Enhanced voter security measures, such as stationed more police in high-risk areas, appointment and close supervision of the voter supply chains just for starters will do away with some criminal acts and most importantly protect voters and the workers on the field. Additional neighborhood policing efforts based on trust between police and vulnerable populations might help to defuse election season violence while enhancing social cohesion. As such, one means for insuring a lasting crime prevention and democratic resilience is connected to solving the structural inequalities and the accompanying socio-economic misery. We can address the root of the problem by investing in social welfare, investment in employment, investment in education, which will help reduce the economic pressures that drive crime, and lead to a more socially equitable society, less likely to fall prey to political opportunists. Just as important — ensuring the integrity of the voting system and public trust in their democratic institutions — is the requirements of a well-managed compliance audit program and a process of infractions adjudication with independent actors. Law enforcement also needs to adjust its strategies to effectively target the specific issues that are prevalent within particular realms of election-related crime. The police should be trained on electoral security and conflict resolution training to equip them with the knowledge and information to address election-related situations with ethics and professionalism. In addition to protecting the integrity and the count of elections required a wide range of law enforcement agencies, local election officials, civil society and international partners.

So, it is crucial to make various policy and operational decisions on crime and elections scientifically informed based on research. Drawing from empirical research, theoretical models, and comparative case studies, policymakers and practitioners may learn what types of interventions can be better tailored to the specific crime patterns around election periods in their context. Protecting the rule of law and public safety, as well as democratic processes during elections as part of an integrated strategy, also entails measures related to situational crime prevention, community involvement, and electoral integrity. They will need to begin by ensuring the enactment of robust legal mechanisms, prepared to enforce stricter statutes on transparency and accountability around political donations to prevent fraud that emanates through the channels of electoral bonds. In addition, it is necessary to create stronger mechanism against corruption and money laundering, so that the electoral bonds can be misused for illegal purposes.

### **Conclusion**

The interaction between crime and the election season is a complex blend of political, social, and behavioural factors. This does not mean that with actual data we would reach such conclusions, but that the theoretical frameworks indicate that these are the underlying mechanisms. From a theoretical standpoint, a full understanding of how the election process can affect the dynamics of criminal behavioural can be further explained by particular criminological theories such as strain theory, the elect effect theory, and rational choice theory. While empirical analysis and comparative case studies reveal considerable variation in experience between jurisdictions and election dynamics, this suggests the importance of a context-specific approach to understanding and responding to election-related criminality. In the future, policy makers, police departments and researchers can work together to create strategies based on evidence to protect public safety, free elections and to put an end to socio-economic differences. Students and Other Stakeholders These stakeholders can be joined together by weaving theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence to facilitate the promotion of democratic stability between academic students and other entities alike to ensure the safety and security of the candidates during an election.